

1 **New data on *Selaginellites coburgensis* from the Rhaetian of**

2 **Wüstenwelsberg (Upper Franconia, Germany)**

3

4

5 **Johanna H.A. van Konijnenburg-van Cittert, Evelyn Kustatscher, Christian Pott, Stefan**

6 **Schmeißner, Günter Dutsch and Michael Krings**

7

8 With 2 figures

9

10

11 VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, J.H.A., KUSTATSCHER, E., POTT, C., SCHMEIßNER, S.,

12 DÜTSCH, G. & KRINGS, M. (): New data on *Selaginellites coburgensis* from the Rhaetian of

13 Wüstenwelsberg (Upper Franconia, Germany) – N. Jb. Geol. Paläont. Abh., DOI

14 xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx; Stuttgart.

15

16 **Abstract:** A branched shoot with several attached microsporangiate strobili of the Rhaetian

17 (late Triassic) herbaceous lycophyte *Selaginellites coburgensis* is described from

18 Wüstenwelsberg near Coburg, Germany, the locus typicus of the species. The strobili all

19 contain *Uvaesporites*-type microspores, precisely as the single, detached strobilus fragment

20 found in association with one of the original specimens.

21

22

23 **Key words:** Lycophytes, Selaginellales, Late Triassic, *in situ* microspores

24

25

26 **1. Introduction**

27 Several different species in the selaginellalean compression genus *Selaginellites*, as well as  
28 dispersed *Uvaesporites*-type spores, have been described from the Triassic of Europe and  
29 beyond. However, only few of these taxa are Late Triassic in age, including *Selaginellites*  
30 *hallei* LUNDBLAD 1950 from the Rhaetian of Sweden (LUNDBLAD 1950a, b), *Selaginella*  
31 *anasazia* ASH 1972 from the Norian of the United States (ASH 1972), *Selaginellites*  
32 *yunnanensis* HSÜ 1950 ex LI et al. 1976 from the Upper Triassic/Lower Jurassic of China, and  
33 *Selaginellites coburgensis* VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT et al. 2014 from the Rhaetian of  
34 Wüstenwelsberg near Coburg (Upper Franconia, Germany). Moreover, reproductive  
35 structures (i.e. strobili containing micro- and/or megaspores) have been recorded for only a  
36 few taxa; none of these reproductive structures has been found in organic connection to  
37 vegetative shoots.

38 *Selaginellites coburgensis* as described by VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT et al.  
39 (2014) consists of several sterile shoots and a single, fragmentary strobilus with *in situ*  
40 microspores from the same stratigraphic horizon. Although sterile and fertile remains were  
41 suggested as belonging to the same species, no organic connection or data on epidermal  
42 anatomy were available to test this hypothesis. Since the initial description of *Selaginellites*  
43 *coburgensis* a more complete specimen was discovered (in 2014 by G. DÜTSCH) that consists  
44 of a twice-branched main axis. Each leafy branch forks several times, and at least in two cases  
45 terminal strobili are preserved. This paper describes the new specimen, including *in situ*  
46 microspores, which demonstrates that the isolated fragmentary strobilus and the leafy shoots  
47 initially assigned as *S. coburgensis* in fact belong to the same taxon. *Selaginellites*  
48 *coburgensis* is significant since it represents a rare element of macropalaeobotanical evidence  
49 of the presence of lycophytes in the Rhaetian of Germany. Moreover, *S. coburgensis*

50 represents the first Triassic *Selaginellites* species for which vegetative shoots and strobili have  
51 been documented in organic connection.

52

## 53 **2. Material and methods**

54 The new specimen comes from a sandstone quarry near the village of Wüstenwelsberg,  
55 approximately 20 km SW of Coburg (Bavaria, Germany; for details, see BONIS et al. 2010 and  
56 VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT et al. 2014). The fossiliferous layers are Late Triassic  
57 (Rhaetian) in age and are comprised of alternating clay stone and sandstone layers. The fossil  
58 comes from the clay layers, one of which (i.e. the so-called ‘Hauptton’) is up to 10 m thick,  
59 belongs to the Contorta beds, and has yielded the majority of the *Selaginellites coburgensis*  
60 specimens that formed the basis for the original description.

61 The specimen is deposited in the collection of G. DÜTSCH (accession number  
62 15Wü14), but will eventually be transferred to the collections of the University of Utrecht, the  
63 Netherlands. Fossils were studied with a dissecting microscope and photographed with a  
64 Nikon D-700 FX digital camera. Several sporangia were isolated and macerated using  
65 Schulze’s reagent (30% HNO<sub>3</sub> with KClO<sub>3</sub>; neutralised in 5% KOH). Microspores were  
66 mounted in glycerine jelly, sealed with paraplast, and analysed using normal transmitted light  
67 microscopy equipment (Leica). Spores were photographed under an Olympus BX51  
68 transmitted light microscope equipped with an Olympus DP71 digital camera.

69

## 70 **3. Systematic palaeobotany**

71

72 Class Lycopodiopsida

73 Order Selaginellales PRANTL 1874

74 Family Selaginellaceae WILLKOMM 1854

75 Genus *Selaginellites* ZEILLER 1906

76

77 *Selaginellites coburgensis* VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT et al. 2014

78 Figs. 1 and 2

79

80 **Description:** The specimen comprises a portion of multibranched leafy shoot 55 mm  
81 wide and 33 mm long (Fig. 1A). The main axis is dorsiventrally compressed and 2–2.5 mm  
82 wide; two lateral branch systems are inserted, both arising at angles of c. 60°. The two lateral  
83 branch systems extend from the main axis in opposite direction at a distance of 13 mm. One  
84 of the laterals forks dichotomously four times, while the other shows three forkings. Forking  
85 usually occurs at angles of 20–60°. The individual axis segments are not narrower distal to  
86 dichotomies, precisely as in the original material of *Selaginellites coburgensis*. All axes bear  
87 more or less densely spaced leaves (microphylls) arranged in distinct rows. Shoots are  
88 anisophyllous, i.e., leaves are arranged into two lateral rows of larger and two median rows of  
89 smaller leaves. The leaves have the same dimensions as indicated for the type materials (VAN  
90 KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT et al. 2014).

91 Terminal strobili are attached to at least two (Fig. 1A, B), possibly three or four last  
92 order lateral branches. However, two of the imprints are indistinct, and thus render it  
93 impossible to determine whether the structures actually represent strobili (Fig. 1A, B). The  
94 two unequivocal strobili are 4 and 5 mm long, respectively, and c. 1.5 mm wide. They consist  
95 of an axis bearing up to 12 helically arranged, roundish-ovate, entire-margined sporophylls,  
96 each of which measures ~1 x 1 mm. Six microsporangia were removed and macerated, and  
97 each contained microspores of the *Uvaesporites*-type, precisely as the strobilus that is  
98 associated with one of the type specimens (VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT et al. 2014).  
99 Most spores occur in tetrads (Fig. 2A, B), but some of the sporangia yielded spores that

100 apparently were slightly older and occurred more or less separated, although they still cannot  
101 be considered fully mature (Fig. 2C-K).

102 Spores are subcircular and distinctly trilete (Fig. 2D-F), with a mean diameter of 41.0  
103  $\mu\text{m}$  (25 spores measured), extremes 35.2  $\mu\text{m}$  and 45.7  $\mu\text{m}$ ; tetrads are 60–71  $\mu\text{m}$  (mean 66  
104  $\mu\text{m}$ ) in diameter (Fig. 2A, B). The spores possess a reticulate to verrucate to rugulate  
105 ornamentation that is pronounced distally, but weak or absent on the proximal surface (Fig.  
106 2E-H, K). Spores that are more immature sometimes look nearly cavate due to the fact that  
107 the exine appears to be subdivided into two distinct layers. In these spores, the thinner exine  
108 and the less-developed distal ornamentation form a somewhat cingulate structure that  
109 resembles the dispersed spore genus *Densoisporites* (Fig. 2J, K). Occurrence of this feature  
110 might be limited to one particular stage of spore development.

111

## 112 **4. Discussion**

113 Palynological evidence indicates that representatives from several different lineages of  
114 lycophytes occurred in Germany during the Late Triassic and Early Jurassic (the so-called  
115 ‘Rhaeto-Liassic’; BONIS et al. 2010). However, only two forms, *Selaginellites coburgensis*  
116 and *Lepacyclotes kirchneri* BAUER et al. 2014 from the Lower Jurassic of Pechgraben near  
117 Bayreuth, have been described to date based on macrofossils. The new specimen of  
118 *Selaginellites coburgensis* with attached strobili containing *in situ* microspores is, therefore,  
119 important because it links the evidence of the dispersed spore record with the *in situ* spores  
120 and – now also unequivocally – with vegetative remains.

121

### 122 **4.1 Composition of the strobili**

123 The present-day genus *Selaginella* is heterosporous; mega- and microsporangia usually occur  
124 in the same strobilus, but in some species in different strobili (see e.g., VAN KONIJNENBURG -

125 VAN CITTERT et al. 2014). The strobili of *Selaginellites coburgensis* described in this paper  
126 appear to be exclusively microsporangiate. The contents from six microsporophylls from one  
127 strobilus were macerated, and all six yielded microspores. The same result was obtained with  
128 sporophylls from the detached strobilus described in VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et al.  
129 (2014). As a result, mega- and microsporangia in *S. coburgensis* appear to have been formed  
130 in separate strobili, unlike those of, e.g., the Middle Triassic *Selaginellites leonardii*  
131 KUSTATSCHER et al. 2010 that developed in micro- and macrosporophylls on the same  
132 strobilus.

133

#### 134 **4.2 Comparisons**

135 Several Triassic and younger Mesozoic *Selaginellites* species from Europe and beyond have  
136 been described based on leafy shoots and/or strobili containing microspores are surveyed in  
137 VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et al. 2014. No new relevant literature has been published  
138 since. The spores (Fig. 2C-K) macerated from the strobili of *Selaginellites coburgensis* are  
139 trilete and characterised by a prominent reticulate to verrucate to rugulate distal  
140 ornamentation. These structural features are characteristic of the dispersed spore genus  
141 *Uvaesporites*, although in some of the *S. coburgensis* spores the outer ornamentation is less  
142 pronounced and more similar to dispersed spores attributed to the genus *Densoisporites* (Fig.  
143 2J, K). The dispersed Triassic spore taxon most closely resembling the *in situ* spores of *S.*  
144 *coburgensis* is *Uvaesporites argenteaeformis* (BOLKHOVITINA 1953) SCHULZ 1967, a typical  
145 element of the Triassic–Jurassic of Eurasia. The size range concurs with that of *U.*  
146 *argenteaeformis* (i.e. 40-62 µm), and the distal and equatorial exine of the latter are also  
147 covered in a reticulum. In the spores described here, the reticulum is less pronounced as in *U.*  
148 *argenteaeformis*, but we suggest this difference might simply be due to the stage of  
149 development of the *S. coburgensis* spores (i.e. not yet mature). *Uvaesporites* has been

150 reported from numerous localities worldwide and ranges from the Permian to Cretaceous  
151 (BALME 1995; MCLOUGHLIN et al. 2014). *Uvaesporites* was probably produced by members  
152 of the Selaginellales, based on several *in situ* occurrences, including *Selaginellites hallei* (e.g.,  
153 LUNDBLAD 1950a, 1950b; BALME 1995) and *S. leonardii* (KUSTATSCHER et al. 2010).

154

### 155 **4.3 Palaeoecology**

156 Most specimens of *Selaginellites coburgensis*, including the one described here, are  
157 compressions, with the cuticle not preserved or too thin and fragile to be obtained for  
158 analysis. As a result, information on the epidermal anatomy that could be used in  
159 paleoecological considerations is not available. The overall appearance of the leafy shoots of  
160 *S. coburgensis* suggest that this plant was small, delicate, with long prostrate primary axes  
161 and dichotomously branching lateral systems, perhaps similar in general appearance to the  
162 modern *Selaginella helvetica* (see ZHANG et al. 2013; VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et  
163 al. 2014). Climate conditions during the Rhaetian are reconstructed as hot and arid (PRETO et  
164 al. 2010), but more humid conditions may have prevailed locally and for short periods of  
165 time, perhaps precisely when and where *S. coburgensis* grew (BONIS et al. 2010). Support for  
166 this hypothesis is a spike in horsetail, lycophyte and fern spores, combined with remains of  
167 aquatic algae (*Botryococcus* KÜTZING 1849, *Cymatiosphaera* WETZEL 1933 ex DEFLANDRE  
168 1954, *Tasmanites* E. NEWTON 1875) that occurs in the layer from which most *S. coburgensis*  
169 fossils come. This spike indicates that bodies of stagnant or slowly running water existed in  
170 the Wüstenwelsberg area during the latest Rhaetian (VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et al.  
171 2014).

172

### 173 **5. Conclusions**

174 The new specimen of *Selaginellites coburgensis* substantiates the hypothesis that strobili  
175 similar to the detached one described by VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et al. (2014)  
176 were produced on the leafy shoots of this species. The new strobili contain the same  
177 *Uvaesporites*-type spores as described previously from the detached specimen, but the  
178 microspores of the former are more mature. This supports the idea that most *Uvaesporites*  
179 spores in the palynological record of the Triassic were produced by *Selaginellites*-type plants.  
180 Moreover, physical connections between strobili, leafy shoots, and *in situ* spores are shown  
181 for a Triassic representative of *Selaginellites* for the first time. *Selaginellites coburgensis*  
182 from the Rhaetian of Wüstenwelsberg (Upper Franconia, Germany) adds significantly to our  
183 understanding of the composition of the understory vegetation, which is typically  
184 underrepresented in the fossil record due to the fragility of its components (generally  
185 herbaceous, hygrophytic plants with thin and fragile cuticles), of an environment that existed  
186 immediately before the end-Triassic mass extinction.

187

#### 188 **Acknowledgements**

189 We thank Mr. RÖSLER (Untermerzbach, Germany), the owner of the quarry at  
190 Wüstenwelsberg, for granting permission to access and collect on his property. E.K.  
191 acknowledges financial support from the Alexander von Humboldt-Foundation (3.3-  
192 ITA/1141759STP).

193

#### 194 **References**

195 ASH, S.D. (1972): Late Triassic plants from the Chinle Formation in North-Eastern Arizona. –  
196 *Palaeontology*, **15**: 598-618.  
197 BALME, B.E. (1995): Fossil *in situ* spores and pollen grains: An annotated catalogue. –  
198 *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology*, **87**: 1-323.



199 BAUER, K., KUSTATSCHER, E., DÜTSCH, G., SCHMEISSNER, S., KRINGS, M. & VAN  
200 KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, J.H.A. (2015): *Lepacyclotes kirchneri* n. sp. (Isoetales,  
201 Isoetaceae) aus dem unteren Jura von Oberfranken, Deutschland. – Berichte der  
202 Naturwissenschaftlichen Gesellschaft Bayreuth 2015, **27**: 429-443.

203 BONIS, N.R., KÜRSCHNER, W.M. & VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, J.H.A. (2010):  
204 Changing CO<sub>2</sub> conditions during the end-Triassic inferred from stomatal frequency  
205 analysis on *Lepidopteris ottonis* (Goeppert) Schimper and *Ginkgoites taeniatus* (Braun)  
206 Harris. – Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, **295**: 146-161.

207 KUSTATSCHER, E., WACHTLER, M. & VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, J.H.A. (2010):  
208 Lycophytes from the Middle Triassic (Anisian) locality Kühwiesenkopf (Monte præ  
209 della Vacca) in the Dolomites (Northern Italy). – Palaeontology, **53**: 595-626.

210 LI, P.J., CAO, Z.Y. & WU, S.Q. (1976): Mesozoic plants from Yunnan. – In: Nanjing Institute  
211 of Geology and Palaeontology, Academia Sinica (Ed.): Mesozoic fossils from Yunnan,  
212 1: 87-150; Beijing (Science Press).

213 LUNDBLAD, A.B. (1950a): Studies in the Rhaeto–Liassic floras of Sweden. I. – Kungliga  
214 Svenska Vetenskapsakademiens Handlingar, **1** (8): 1-82.

215 LUNDBLAD, A.B. (1950b): On a fossil *Selaginella* from the Rhaetic of Hyllinge, Scania. –  
216 Svensk Botanisk Tidskrift, **44**: 477-487.

217 MCLOUGHLIN, S., JANSSON, I.-M. & VAJDA, V. (2014): Megaspore and microfossil  
218 assemblages reveal diverse herbaceous lycophytes in the Australian Early Jurassic flora.  
219 – Grana **53**; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00173134.2013.848234>

220 POTT, C., SCHMEIBNER, S., DÜTSCH, G. & VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, J.H.A.  
221 (submitted): Bennettitales in the Rhaetian flora of Wüstenwelsberg, Bavaria, Germany.  
222 – Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology

- 223 PRETO, N., KUSTATSCHER, E. & WIGNALL, P.B. (2010): Triassic climates – state of the art and  
224 perspectives. – *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, **291**: 1-10.
- 225 VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, J.H.A., KUSTATSCHER, E., BAUER, K., POTT, C.,  
226 SCHMEISSNER, S., DÜTSCH, G. & KRINGS, M. (2014): A *Selaginellites* from the Rhaetian  
227 of Wüstenwelsberg (Upper Franconia, Germany). – *Neues Jahrbuch für Geologie und*  
228 *Paläontologie, Abhandlungen*, **272** (2): 115-127.
- 229 ZEILLER, R. (1906): Bassin houiller et Permien de Blanzay et du Creusot. II. Flore fossile.  
230 Études Gîtes Minéraux de la France. – 265 pp.; Paris (Ministère des Travaux Publics).
- 231 ZHANG, X.C., NOOTEBOOM H.P. & KATO, M. (2013): Selaginellaceae. – In: WU, Z.Y., RAVEN  
232 P.H. & HONG, D. Y. (Eds.): *Flora of China*, Vol. 2-3 (Pteridophytes), 37-66 ; Beijing  
233 (Science Press).

234

235 Manuscript received: March 22nd, 2016.

236 Revised version accepted by the Stuttgart editor: xx xx 2016.

237

238

239 **Addresses of the authors:**

240 JOHANNA H.A. VAN KONIJNENBURG-VAN CITTERT, Naturalis Biodiversity Center, PO Box

241 9517, 2300 RA Leiden, und Laboratory of Palaeobotany and Palynology, Heidelberglaan 2,

242 3584 CS Utrecht, The Netherlands; e-mail: jtvk@kgk.nl

243 EVELYN KUSTATSCHER, Naturmuseum Bozen, Bindergasse 1, 39100 Bolzano, Italy.

244 EVELYN KUSTATSCHER, MICHAEL KRINGS, Department für Geo- und Umweltwissenschaften,

245 Paläontologie und Geobiologie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität & Bayerische

246 Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, Richard-Wagner-Straße 10, 80333 Munich,

247 Germany.

248 CHRISTIAN POTT, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Palaeobiology Department, Box  
249 50007, SE-104 05 Stockholm, Sweden.

250 STEFAN SCHMEISSNER, Matthäus-Schneider-Straße 14, 95326 Kulmbach, Germany

251 GÜNTER DÜTSCH, Eichbergstraße 25a, 95369 Untersteinach, Germany.

252

253

254 **Figure Captions:**

255

256 **Fig. 1.** *Selaginellites coburgensis* VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et al. 2014 from the  
257 Rhaetian (uppermost Triassic) of Wüstenwelsberg, Upper Franconia: Branched leafy shoot  
258 with attached strobili. **A** – 15Wü14, shoot system with at least two attached strobili (white  
259 arrows) and several indistinct imprints of putative strobili (black arrow).

260 **B** – 15Wü14, detail of A, indicating the attached strobili.

261 Scale bars = 1 cm.

262

263 **Fig. 2.** *Selaginellites coburgensis* VAN KONIJNENBURG - VAN CITTERT et al. 2014 from the  
264 Rhaetian (uppermost Triassic) of Wüstenwelsberg, Upper Franconia: *In situ* spores from  
265 15Wü14. **A, B** – Spore tetrads. **C-K** – Single spores. Scale bars = 50 µm.