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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

In 1937, the Swedish palynologist Gunnar Erdtman (1897–1973) mounted two adapted vacuum cleaners atop an ocean-going liner and set out to obtain air samples as he crossed the Atlantic Ocean. The devices were able to capture samples of airborne pollen which were related to air volume and distance from land. The results of this investigation are still cited in the scientific literature, but a study of publications demonstrates that the ocean study had land-based antecedents. Furthermore, archival investigation reveals the background to such studies, including the technical plans for Erdtman’s ‘aerosol collector’, records of raw data from the voyage, draft portions of the key publication, photographs and the precise location of the land-based equipment. A storage loft in the Swedish Museum of Natural History in Stockholm has been found to house a surviving aerosol collector.

\textbf{1. Introduction}

Upon conferment of the Medal of Scientific Excellence by the American Association of Stratigraphic Palynologists in 2003, the Norwegian palaeobotanist Svein Manum (1926–2015) responded with a series of reminiscences which included the following (Thusu and Vigran 2003, p. 3):

In 1954 I had the privilege of watching Gunnar Erdtman’s newly established palynological laboratory at Bromma in Sweden. Whether a modern or fossil pollen worker, you know his legacy: the now internationally renowned palynological laboratory in Stockholm, the journal \textit{Grana}, and his pioneer work on pollen morphology and plant taxonomy … Erdtman suffered from lack of recognition among Swedish academia, and particularly the top taxonomic hierarchy in Linne’s own country … One in the group of professors politely asked the young Norwegian botany student what he was doing in Sweden. When I told that I was visiting Erdtman, one of them exclaimed: ‘Oh, the man who vacuum cleaned the Atlantic’, which caused roaring laughter from the others. Erdtman had actually ‘vacuum cleaned’ the Atlantic. On a ship he had set up an apparatus to collect air samples to study the off shore pollen rain, and part of the apparatus was a vacuum cleaner. This was a perfectly sound scientific project, for which he received little credit.

The project which had generated the mirth of the distinguished taxonomists attending a meeting of the Swedish Botanical Society, had been published in a now defunct journal produced by the Gothenburg Botanical Garden (Erdtman 1937). As if to anticipate the mockery of his compatriots, Erdtman (Figure 1) sent an offprint of the paper to an unknown recipient with the dedication ‘God fortsättnings på det nya året tillönskas av dammsugaren med fru’, which may be loosely translated into English as ‘Continued good wishes for the New Year from the vacuum cleaner and his wife’ (Figure 2).

From first mentions in the late 1930s (Irmscher 1938; Wenner 1939), Erdtman’s 1937 paper continued to gain attention from researchers writing in the journals of such varied disciplines as palynology, biology, aerobiology, archaeology, geography, climatology, oceanography and agricultural science (e.g. Deevey 1944; Griffiths 1950; Polunin 1951; Hyvärinen 1970; Kramer et al. 1973; Hjelmroos 1991; Wolf et al. 2001; Giesecke et al. 2010; Hernandez-Ceballos 2014; Bajpai and Kar 2018), as well, most recently, in the history of biology (Charenko 2022).

However, Erdtman’s use of the vacuum cleaner (Swedish ‘damsugare’) on an Atlantic voyage had earlier or at least contemporaneous beginnings with his seemingly forgotten land-based pollen-sampling experiments. The survival of part of Erdtman’s vacuum-related pollen sampling equipment in a storage loft of the Naturhistoriska riksmuseet (The Swedish Museum of Natural History [MNH]) and archival sources at the Center for History of Science, Kungliga Vetenskapsakademien (the Royal Swedish Academy of
Sciences (KVA), both in Stockholm, Sweden have encouraged the authors to revisit Erdtman’s investigations. As such, this is also seen as a further contribution to the history of palynology and more widely to the historiography of the field, ocean and aerobiological sciences (cf. Manten 1966; Sarjeant 2002; Birks 2005; Edlund and Winthrop 2014; Riding and Dettmann 2014; Edwards et al. 2017; Birks and Berglund 2018; De Klerk 2018; Edwards 2018, 2021; Edwards and Parde 2018; Gaillard et al. 2018; Troels-Smith et al. 2018; Edwards and Mao 2021; Charenko 2022; Hooghiemstra and Richards 2022).

2. Gunnar Erdtman and pollen dispersal

Otto Gunnar Elias Erdtman (1897–1973) was a giant in the field of pollen and spore taxonomy and to early palynology more broadly (Erdtman 1943a; Fægri 1973; Edlund and Winthrop 2014; Birks and Berglund 2018; Edwards 2021). A key chemical process for the pretreatment of polleniferous samples (Erdtman 1934), still in use today, is known as ‘Erdtman’s acetolysis’. He was to found the journal *Grana Palynologica* in 1954 (*Grana* from 1970) and the international gold medal bestowed by the Palynological Society of India not only bears his name, but did so while he was still living (Nilsson et al. 1993; Edwards 2018). After his death, Gunnar Erdtman was referred to as ‘Mr. Pollen Analysis’ by another pioneer of the discipline (Fægri 1973, p. 6).

For the period 1935–1944, and certainly covering the ‘vacuum cleaner years’, Erdtman was a schoolteacher in Västerås, a city in south central Sweden located around 100 km west-northwest of Stockholm. Up until 1936, his prodigious output of publications in many areas of pollen systematics, palaeoecology and methodology (Printz-Erdtman 1963) should occasion no surprise that the aerial dispersal of pollen was of key interest to him. As the compiler of annual lists of pollen-related literature in the journal *Geologiska Föreningen i Stockholm Förhandlingar*, Erdtman would have been aware of papers that dealt with both ship- and land-based attempts to measure pollen rain (the pollen and spores falling on a site) and the characteristics of long-distance transport of pollen, as well as interest in long-travelled exotic pollen in fossiliferous deposits (Hesselman 1919; Erdtman 1921, 1924, 1936; Jessen and Rasmussen 1922; Malmström 1923; Rempe 1937).

3. Vacuum devices I: published statements

3.1. The Atlantic Ocean exercise

‘Pollens recovered from the atmosphere over the Atlantic’ (Erdtman 1937) was a record of Gunnar Erdtman’s experiment to assess wind-transported pollen over long distances. With the support of Carl Johan Fredrik Skottsberg (1880–1963), Professor and Director of the Göteborgs botaniska trädgård (Gothenburg Botanical Garden), Erdtman secured passage and assistance on a voyage of the Swedish American Line vessel SS *Drottningholm* (Figure 3) from Gothenberg to New York in May–June 1937. The *Drottningholm* (= Queen’s Isle) was one of the earliest steam turbine ocean liners and had been built in Glasgow in 1904 and named the RMS *Virginian*. It saw service in World War I as a British troopship and armed merchantman (Reimertz 2018). In addition to passage on the liner, Erdtman also received contributions of two vacuum cleaners and a manometer from the Lux company, a founding constituent of Electrolux AB, and an electric centrifuge from Rudolph Grave AB, both of Stockholm.

Two vacuum cleaners (Electrolux model Z25 [not 225 as stated by Erdtman] powered at 110 V DC; Supplementary material, Figure 1) were used simultaneously on board the ship. One was fastened on top of the bridge ~18 m above sea level with the air inlet pointed forwards, and the other was fastened in the stern rigging (or fore rigging or foremost according to Erdtman 1938a,b) at a similar height with the air inlet directed obliquely upwards. Filter paper took the place of the dust bag and Erdtman seemed to have garnered this idea from knowledge of the vacuum-based dust extraction techniques of the famous Swedish forensic scientist Harry Söderman (cited in Erdtman 1937, p. 190 and cf. Erdtman 1938a, p. 352, though the idea was not that of Erdtman [see below]). A pitot tube fitted to the vacuum exhaust, in combination with a manometer (pressure gauge) was used to calculate the air flow velocity. Filter bag dissolution and pollen concentration took place back in Erdtman’s Västerås laboratory and involved two acetolysis (acetic anhydride, glacial acetic acid, sulphuric acid) treatments, silicon removal with hydrofluoric acid, and centrifugation. A cautionary note, well understood from later health and safety regimes, was sounded when Erdtman (1937, p. 192) observed that ‘during the reaction, vapours causing eye pain, are produced; the whole operation, therefore, should be made in a [fume] hood’. Pollen concentrates were embedded in glycine or glycerine jelly and suspensions were viewed microscopically after transfer to a counting chamber (cf. Erdtman 1935). Technical explanations are described in the paper, and the arrangement of the devices, albeit within a land-based metal housing, are shown below.

The choice of publication outlet for the 1937 paper – *Meddelanden från Göteborgs Botaniska Trädgård* – was likely connected with Skottsberg’s assistance and his Directorship of the Gothenburg Botanical Garden. The results of the ocean study were also published in summary form in several
of Erdtman’s later contributions (e.g. Erdtman 1938a, 1943a, 1945) and the principal areas of relevance to the current paper may be listed as:

- The vacuum cleaner experiments took place 29 May–7 June 1937 (westward journey) and 9–19 June (eastward) (Figure 4).
- Only data from the westward voyage from Gothenburg to New York were reported in detail as those from the return journey were ‘disappointing’ and ‘not worth recording’ owing to the advanced season and bad weather, including northerly winds (such winds, passing over sea rather than land, would not be strongly pollen-bearing).
- During rain or dense fog, the ship-borne equipment was turned off and protected.
- The data (Figures 4 and 5, and described in Erdtman [1937]) showed that the mean number of pollen grains sampled per 100 m$^3$ of air was 6.6 (range 0.7–18.0 with lower values over mid-ocean, up to 660 km from the nearest land, and highest values closer to land).
- These contrast with the $\sim$18,000 grains/100 m$^3$ obtained 1 April–1 June 1937 from an experiment on the top of the water tower in Västerås.
- The results from the voyage may have seemed scanty, but the aim was to quantify airborne pollen content over oceans.

Figure 2. Front cover of Erdtman (1937) offprint showing the handwritten dedication (see text for details), Naturhistoriska riksmuseet, Stockholm.
Biologically and meteorologically, Erdtman thought it would be useful to establish land-based aerosol collectors or vacuum cleaners in heavy metal cases at fixed points in Greenland and the Faroe Islands, for instance, to establish the atmospheric drift of palynomorphs.

3.2. The land-based/water tower experiments

Mention had been made to land-based studies of aerosol content at the very start of the 1937 paper (p. 185):

During parts of 1936 and 1937 some investigations of the pollen content of the air in a densely forested part of Central Sweden were conducted by means of vacuum cleaners. The experiments were chiefly undertaken to obtain reliable material for studying some of the theoretical foundations of pollen analysis. Complementary to these investigations it was decided to calculate the amount of pollen grains carried by the winds over very great distances, e.g. across wide sheets of water such as the northern part of the Atlantic.

It seems probable that the initial experiments refer to Erdtman’s use of the top of the now disused water tower in Västerås (Figure 6) – in a wooded region of Sweden – rather than literally within a forest location. This elevated sampling location for his vacuum-based equipment, is alluded to later in the same paper, with start dates of 1 April 1937, but with variable end-dates of 1 June (p. 186) and 1 July (pp. 191–192). This apparent discrepancy is shown further by a series of statements in publications spanning the period 1938–1945 (Table 1). In Erdtman (1938b), following mention of the North Atlantic experiment, he noted that:

Similar tests were carried out on the water tower in Västerås (approx. 70 metres above sea level) from April 1 to June 1 of the same year (1937) with an ‘aerosol collector’, for which the Lux company in Stockholm produced the drawings according to the director’s [i.e. Erdtman’s] instructions. Among other things, pollen from…trees and shrubs was collected…On a filter in a vacuum cleaner, which was in operation from Christmas Eve 1936 to New Year’s Day 1937, the most important of the types of pollen occurring in peatlands, including Tilia, were obtained. In addition, a number of the large and easily identifiable pollen grains of Zea were found. The ground was not covered with snow at the time, and most of the captured pollen grains must have been swirled up with the dust from roofs, streets, fields, etc. (about ten individuals of Zea bloomed in the fall of 1936 in the school garden about a hundred meters from the place where the vacuum cleaner was set up….)

In 1943 when his book Introduction to Pollen Analysis appeared (Erdtman 1943a), two chapters (13 – ‘Output and dissemination of pollen’ and 14 – ‘Surface samples’) included discussion of long-distance pollen dispersal. Of interest is that each of the chapters mentioned the research related to vacuum cleaners. The first of these incorporated a summary of his 1937 paper, while the second mentioned an ‘aerosole collector’ (p. 188). The latter has a particular bearing here and selected sections are quoted (ibid. pp. 187–188):

In order to obtain a tolerably objective idea of the composition of the pollen rain settling, e.g. on the surface of a bog, it would be necessary to investigate the pollen sedimentation of a large number of sample areas. Another method, tried tentatively by

Table 1. Dates for the oceanic and land-based vacuum experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location and source</th>
<th>Start and end dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Ocean</td>
<td>29 May–19 June 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land-based</td>
<td>1 April–1 June and 1 July 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdtman (1937)</td>
<td>1 April–midsummer 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdtman (1938a)</td>
<td>1 April–1 July 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdtman (1938b)</td>
<td>24 December 1936–1 January 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdtman (1943a)</td>
<td>1 April 1937–1 June 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdtman (1943b)</td>
<td>‘Christmas’ 1936–1 January 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erdtman (1945)</td>
<td>1 April–1 July 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNH photo (back of Figure 7(a))</td>
<td>Cf. 26 or 27 October 1937</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the author, is based on the assumption that the composition and amount of pollen sediment in a certain area is proportionate to the composition and amount of pollen grains in the air above the area in question. By trapping the pollen grains by means of vacuum cleaners, it would be possible to record continuously the pollen flora per cubic meter of the air. Uninterrupted drift is secured by enclosing the vacuum cleaners in special cases made from iron plate to prevent any interference from rain and larger objects such as leaves, insects, etc. The vacuum cleaner is placed vertically within the casing with the inlet upwards and the outlet downwards. The amount of air sucked through per minute is calculated by means of a manometer installed in a box attached
to the casing. The whole apparatus, or ‘aerosole collector’, is about 150 cm high, its weight about 70 kg. It is fastened to a heavy concrete square holding it in position even in high winds.

If the motor in a vacuum cleaner is worked continuously for weeks it must be overhauled, or even exchanged, once a month. The atmospheric dust is trapped in bags of filter paper, which are later chemically dissolved and treated … . Each bag is exposed for 24 hours, or for a somewhat longer or shorter period, according to the circumstances … .

This text is clearly referring to a vacuum cleaner located within a substantial housing and for use in terrestrial contexts.

In a paper which appeared two years later, Erdtman (1945) recorded (p. 132):

> In Västerås, during the period 1 April-1 July 1937, an orientational aerobiological investigation was carried out, whereby the airborne content of pollen grains and spores was determined with the help of an ‘aerosol collector’ installed on the city’s water tower. A continuously working vacuum cleaner, which on average filtered around one cubic metre of air per minute, served as an aerosol collector. The vacuum cleaner was mounted so that it could work regardless of changes in the weather. Due to a lack of time, the experiment could not be continued.

This was illustrated with the diagram of the later (vacuum cleaner, pitot tube and manometer) from Erdtman (1937; and see KVA archival data section below) together with mention of the pollen counting chamber cited above.

4. Vacuum devices II: archival data

4.1. Museum photographs

The photographic album of Erdtman’s Palynological Laboratory, held in the archive of the Swedish Museum of Natural History, contains pictures (Figure 7(A, B); Supplementary material, Figure 2) that were probably taken on the same occasion. In Figure 7(A), Erdtman is stood before the ‘aerosol collector’ discussed above. His hands rest upon the vacuum cleaner standing vertically within the equipment housing, while the manometer rests upon a shelf at the front of the housing. Figure 7(B), although labelled ‘G. Erdtman Västerås (about 1940)’ in the album, is more precisely labelled ‘Jmf. [jämfr = compare] 27 [or overwritten 26] October 1937’, along with the words ‘aerosoluppsamlaren på vat[tentornet] ….’ (= the aerosol collector on the water tower) on the reverse. The walling shown in Figures 7(A, B) and Supplementary material, Figure 2, together with another photograph taken in 1935 (Figure 7(C)), along with the tall church spire in the background of the pictures, identifies this as top of the water tower shown in Figure 6. The sampling equipment together with a centrifuge is spread upon a work bench in another photograph (Figure 7(D)). The October 1937 date for at least one of the photographs may indicate that the equipment was used for a longer period than indicated in the publications (Table 1), unless the later date denotes photography after the apparatus was no longer in use.

4.2. MNH documentary archive

A storage box in the museum contains a folder marked ‘Dammsugning (= vacuuming) Västerås 1937’, which further
contains a labelled notebook. This is covered in black ink or paint lines, squiggles, and thick brush strokes (Figure 8) which are often found in Erdtman’s documents, though usually with more delicacy and are sometimes multi-coloured (Edlund and Winthrop 2014; Edwards and Pardoe 2018). The label is headed by his characteristic signature (including the ‘mouse-ear’ initial letter G [for Gunnar]) and describes its contents.

The 49 pages of data primarily relate to the Atlantic voyage along with estimates of pollen productivity for four taxa (Betula pubescens, Quercus robur, Carpinus betulus and Picea excelsa). The ship-board data include recurrent types of material of which examples are shown in Figure 9. Data and textual comments include those for: equipment dimensions and suppliers; chemical treatments; geographical coordinates, wind speeds and directions; times expressed in Greenwich Mean Time; filter paper sample indices and visual states of the filters – ‘sönder’ (broken), ‘smutsig’ (dirty), genomblött (soaked through), ‘saknas’ (missing); and manometer readings for apparatus A (the vacuum cleaner ‘[på] taket av styrtnten’ [on the roof of the wheel house]) as opposed to readings for Apparatus B (the vacuum cleaner ‘längst fram i fören’ [at the front of the bow]).

In addition to the foregoing, there are portions of a draft of the paper which was to appear as that in Meddelanden från Göteborgs Botaniska Trädgård (Erdtman 1937). The draft is written in a mixture of Swedish and English and the material that survives adheres closely to the final published version (Figure 10).

4.3. Letters from Lux AB in the KVA archive

In parallel with an examination of the Erdtman holdings within the museum, Erdtman’s correspondence archive at the Center for History of Science of the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences contains material relevant to his vacuum devices. Erdtman was in contact with the Lux company since at least the beginning of 1936. On 22 January of that
year, he had written a letter to the company concerning the use of vacuum cleaners for pollen collection. The response of 28 January 1936, signed by a Josef Junberger from the Lux laboratory survives (Supplementary material, Figure 3). Erdtman had clearly asked about the voltage requirements of the equipment, and he was informed that the lowest voltage for which a standard vacuum cleaner was manufactured had been 22 volts and that it was not therefore possible to operate the cleaner with a car or motorcycle battery. For this purpose he would need to use a specially prepared accumulator battery operating at 250 watts with a maximum amperage load on the discharge current of 15 amperes. It was advised that for the experiments in question, it may be important that the device should be able to draw a

Figure 7. (A and B) Gunnar Erdtman, 1937, and the ‘aerosol collector’ vacuum equipment with manometer (MNH); (C) Water tower roof, 1935, photo by Ernst Blom, ‘Vattentornet vid Djäkneberget, Västerås’ (https://digitaltmuseum.se/021010486166/vattentornet-vid-djakenberget-vasteras.; public domain); (D) Erdtman, 1937, showing the manometer, vacuum cleaner and centrifuge on the laboratory bench (MNH).
maximum of about 1 m³ of air per minute through the vacuum cleaner. In addition, Erdtman was warned that it would not be possible to use the usual dust bag of the vacuum cleaner, but that he should employ a dust bag made of filter paper (‘white or black, depending on the colour of the pollen’). The helpful correspondent suggested that such a paper filter bag could be similar to that described in an attached, but not archived, offprint from *Nordisk kriminalteknisk tidskrift* 1931 (Söderman 1931; discussed above).

A second letter connected with the vacuum cleaner was sent by an Ernst Fredlund of the Lux Company to Erdtman on 22 September 1939. Headed ‘aerosol collector’ (*Supplementary material*, Figure 4), it is largely a house-keeping document detailing equipment loans between Erdtman, Lux, and a Dr Oddvar Andrup of Oslo. The loans included a 220V vacuum cleaner, a pitot tube, a manometer and accessories. The letter confirmed that Erdtman, as outlined in a letter of his dated 14 May, currently had a vacuum cleaner for which Lux would appreciate its return ‘for the sake of order’, unless it was still required.

There is no indication that Erdtman wished to retain the equipment for terrestrial experiments. Of interest, however, is the final paragraph of the 22 September letter:

Regarding your planned surveys of the pollen frequency at different heights above the earth’s surface with the help of vacuum cleaners, suitably mounted on aircraft, we believe that these surveys are technically possible to carry out. We are ready to be of service with information on this point and we hope that external conditions will soon be such that your planned investigation can be carried out.

In other words, several months before the start of the Second World War, Erdtman was proposing to mount vacuum cleaners on airplanes. Aircraft sampling of pollen had been reported since the 1920s (Stakman et al. 1923; Scheppergrell 1925; Coons 1936; Meier and Artschwager 1938) as it has been up to more recent times (e.g. Raynor et al. 1974; Trägårdh 1977; Mandrioli et al. 1984; Damialis et al. 2017). The use of vacuum cleaners would be a variation on the trapping of pollen on microscope slides or petri dishes and would be more akin to the later use of isokinetic samplers (cf. Hirst et al. 1967a,b). Three weeks after the start of the War, Lux’s Ernst Fredlund was optimistic that Erdtman’s plan might come to fruition soon, but ‘external conditions’, of course, were not to improve for 6 years. The idea seems to have remained with Erdtman, as in 1948 he corresponded with the botanist and conservationist Nicholas Polunin (1909–1997) concerning airborne pollen and spore sampling during a flight over the North Pole (Edlund and Winthrop 2014, p. 8).

### 4.4. Plans

The technical drawing produced by the Lux company for the ‘aerosol collector’ (Erdtman 1938b) is archived at the KVA. The Aerosoluppsamlare (= aerosol collector) plan is dated 10 March 1937 (Figure 11) – though the emboldened number 7 in the date appears to over-write a 6 (*Supplementary material*, Figure 5). A date of 1936 would make more sense in that it would allow time for the equipment to be constructed ready for the Västerås water tower tests beginning 24 December 1936. The change in the plan date from 1936 to 1937 may be an archival relic by those who collated Erdtman’s papers after his death and in an effort to incorrectly associate the plans for the land-based aerosol collector with documents relating to the Atlantic voyage.

The plan is a relatively sparse drawing at a scale of 1:5 and shows the metal housing in three elevations with the vacuum cleaner cylinder and pitot tube in position. There are few words on the plan, but they include ‘kabel’ (= cable), ‘svetsas’ (to be welded) and ‘Beräknad vikt 70 kg (excl. betongplatta och dammsugare)’ (= Estimated weight 70 kg (excluding concrete slab and vacuum cleaner)). There is a pencilled marginal sketch depicting the pitot tube connection to the manometer, as well as additional plans showing the same more formally (*Supplementary material*, Figure 6). An arrow indicates the mains electrical cable exiting an access cavity at the base.

### 4.5. Map

The map in Erdtman’s Atlantic publication showed the westward voyage of the *Drottningholm*, with aerosol collections over 8 days (I-VIII in Figure 4). A working map, with various annotations (e.g. denoting the forward or rear vacuum
cleaner readings for both legs of the voyage and weather conditions), utilized the original route map as supplied by the shipping company (Figure 4).

4.6. Additional documentation

There are several pages of torn-off notepaper containing a mixture of pollen counts, drawings of pollen grains, geographical coordinates and references to samples of filter paper (cf. Figure 12) from the Atlantic journey. In addition, a folder labelled ‘Väderleksuppgifter’ (= Weather information) contains newspaper cuttings of meteorological conditions immediately prior to the voyage (Supplementary material, Figure 7).

4.7. Letters in response to the publication of Erdtman (1937)

A series of letters relating to Erdtman’s 1937 paper, the equipment and replication of the methodology are to be found in the KVA archive. On 19 September 1937, Erdtman wrote to the British archaeologist James Burchell, providing pollen counts and adding:

My wife and I went to New York early in June studying the absolute content of pollen grains in the atmosphere all the way across by means of vacuum cleaners. I am writing a short note on our results (about a dozen pages when printed). May I send it on to you, when ready, for the necessary corrections?

By 21 October 1937, Burchell had ‘made such amendments as I thought desirable’, but was ‘not at all happy about the word “digestion”; I wonder if you do not mean “absorbsortion” [sic.]’. It seems likely that this observation referred to the breakdown of the cellulose within the filter paper upon which the pollen grains adhered, and that Erdtman (1937, pp. 192–193) substituted the word ‘dissolution’ rather than either of the foregoing. The word ‘digestion’, in fact, is both acceptable in chemistry and is frequently used in the chemical treatment of sediments for pollen analysis (Moore et al. 1991).

Three of Erdtman’s international (and ultimately distinguished) correspondents were impressed by his vacuum-based Atlantic investigation. Lucy May Cranwell (1907–2000), the New Zealand botanist and newly initiated palynologist (Cranwell and von Post 1936), wrote to Erdtman from the Auckland Museum on 4 March 1938, thanking him for receipt of the ‘Trans-Atlantic’ paper and expressing a wish that ‘we could get something of the kind done between Australia and New Zealand, or even between New Zealand and the Chatham Islands’.

On 18 May 1938, the Indian palaeobotanist Birbal Sahni (1891–1949), of Lucknow University, but writing from Vienna, told Erdtman that the Atlantic Ocean paper ‘impressed me
so much that I wish to use the opportunity of my homeward voyage for a similar investigation...across the Arabian Sea’, hopefully borrowing Erdtman’s apparatus for the purpose. Erdtman responded from Västerås on 22 May 1938, telling Sahni that he had ‘written to the Electro-Lux Company and I think that they will be glad to place a vacuum-cleaner at your disposal’. Furthermore, he hoped that Sahni would visit him in Sweden in order that the use of the equipment can be demonstrated. Two more letters from Sahni survive in the archive, sent 29 May and 2 July 1938, and indicated that the health of his wife prevented a visit to Sweden, but that he was seeking advice on the nature of the electrical supply and the type of fuel burned by the potential ships. Coal and oil were both likely to emit smoke and Erdtman had probably informed Sahni of the difficulties when attempting to isolate the pollen grains adhering to the vacuum filter papers.

There seems to be no evidence from either letters or publications that either Cranwell or Sahni in particular, followed-up on the interest expressed in their correspondence. Letters between Gunnar Erdtman and Roger Wodehouse spanning 1937 and 1938 are of a slightly different nature and relate essentially to terrestrial, airborne entities rather than long-distance transport of pollen and spores over large stretches of sea. Wodehouse clearly had knowledge of Erdtman’s voyage as, on 20 September 1937, he wrote to Erdtman from his home in Yonkers, New York state, enquiring of the experiments:

I was very much impressed with your apparatus. It seems to me to be perfect and it should replace all other methods. We have recently organized a "Committee for the Study of Atmospheric Pathogens and allergens", sponsored by the National Research Council...I would like very much to introduce your apparatus at that meeting, and see if we can get a number of them operating in various places, and a central laboratory established at Washington to which the collections could be sent for analysis.

On 28 October 1937, Wodehouse wrote to Erdtman from his office at the Biology Department of the Arlington Chemical Company in Yonkers, thanking him for a letter, sketches and photographs of the aerosol collector – They just arrived in time, for I was scheduled to speak that evening at the Columbia University colloquium on the current literature of my subject, so I gave them your aerosol collector and the results of your trip across the ocean.’ Another letter dated 20 January 1938 showed that Wodehouse had now received Erdtman’s paper and was asking for permission to reproduce the figure of the apparatus in his book Hayfever Plants (Wodehouse 1945). The work of the committee...
Figure 11. The Aerosoluppsamlare (= aerosol collector) plan dated 10 March 1937, though the ‘7’ overwrites a ‘6’; an earlier date would seem more logical (see text and see Supplementary material, Figure 5) (KVA).

Figure 12. Example of the torn-off notepaper containing a mixture of pollen counts, drawings of pollen grains, geographical coordinates and references to samples of filter paper (cf. Figure 9) from the Atlantic journey (KVA).
mentioned in the earlier letter ‘is progressing slowly’… . We have decided to call it the Committee on Aerobiology, thus naming the science at the same time. So you and I are a couple of aerobiologists by definition, whether we like it or not.’ It might be noted that the Atlantic Ocean experiment is not mentioned in the second edition of *Hayfever Plants* (Wodehouse 1971), nor is Erdtman’s vacuum device illustrated, while the discussion surrounding it is much reduced in comparison with the first edition of the book – methods had clearly become simpler and more refined.

5. Museum equipment

Discussion between the authors concerning the physical remnants of Erdtman’s laboratory at the MNH revealed that some apparatus resided in the museum’s storage loft. Upon inspection, this was clearly intended to contain electrical equipment and it was identifiable from the photographs as being the housing associated with the land-based aerosol collector. Indeed, as mentioned above, the back of Figure 2(B) displays the words ‘aerosoluppsamlaren på vat[ten tornet] ….’ (= the aerosol collector on the wat[er tower]).

The equipment is here described in conjunction with the gallery of photographs presented in Figure 13(A–D) and in Supplementary material, Figures 8–19. The iron-plated apparatus is certainly heavy and reaches a height of 153 cm (Figure 13(A)). The vacuum cleaner fitted inside a metal box, with a hinged lid, which lies in the same plane as the stem of the equipment (cf. Figure 11 and Supplementary material, Figures 12–16). The vacuum cleaner cylinder rested upon two metal brackets screwed to the equipment housing (Figure 13(B)) and presumably received its power from its own supply cable as there is no indication of splicing of the thick cable which runs through the length of the apparatus and for which the plug would exit through one of the four basal rectangular holes (Figure 13(C)). A lamp (most likely for recording manometer readings in the dark) was fixed to the metal box bolted to the stem and it received its electrical supply via a Luma transformer connected to the mains cable (Figure 13(D)) which was doubtless intended to step-down the mains input voltage to the rated voltage of the lamp. A hinged flap on the box opened downwards and formed a level surface upon which the manometer was placed (Figures 13(A) and cf. Figure 7(A)). The compartment at the base of the equipment contained several blank forms which may have been intended for recording such details as manometer readings and meteorological and floristic observations (Supplementary material, Figure 8).

6. Conclusions

Svein Manum’s reminisences which opened this paper were correct in musing that Erdtman had received little credit for his oceanic studies in pollen transport – at least amongst plant taxonomists. His work has been shown to have longevity, however, and the 1937 article is an acknowledged milestone in the methodological development of palynology. His trans-Atlantic pollen rain experiment may have garnered the immediate interest of palynologists and the steady attention of later commentators, yet an examination of the published literature demonstrates that there were antecedent terrestrial investigations by the Swede. Archival research throws an enriching light on aspects of the development of both Erdtman’s land-based aerosol collector, as well as the temporal and spatial extension exemplified in ‘vacuum cleaning the Atlantic’. It has always been appreciated that the ubiquity of airborne pollen and spores does not signify an unchanging atmospheric component, but Erdtman’s efforts were aimed at cementing the dynamic nature of palynologically-based aerial plankton, with quantitative estimates of its character.

The archival material reveals the importance to Erdtman of seeking support from equipment manufacturers and the shipping line, as well, perhaps, of an obligation to publish in the Gothenburg journal of Carl Skottsberg whose ministries had secured passage for Erdtman (and his wife) on the *Drottningholm*. Erdtman was not a wealthy teacher, and he did not become a wealthy researcher. In fact, other letters reveal that much of his time was spent in fighting for funds...
has enabled us to pinpoint the precise location of his terrestrial efforts to life. Importantly, the existence of the photographs in the laboratory photo album of the Natural History Museum vividly bring Erdtman’s pre-Atlantic apparatus – part of the intellectual archaeology and heritage of the discipline of palynology and one that is tied intimately to a remarkable pioneer of pollen studies.

The ‘messiness’ of the pollen count sheets, with statistical calculations and drawings of probable unidentified pollen grains, along with the doodled ink or paint lines, reflect the difficulties of experimentation, exemplified by notes describing filter papers as broken, missing, dirty, and sodden. The draft of portions of the Atlantic Ocean article, with its mixture of Swedish and English text, is entirely kept with Erdtman’s multi-lingual facility as discussed elsewhere (Edwards 2021). This did not stop him from seeking help from James Burchell where appropriate.

The ‘messiness’ of the pollen count sheets, with statistical calculations and drawings of probable unidentified pollen grains, along with the doodled ink or paint lines, reflect the difficulties of experimentation, exemplified by notes describing filter papers as broken, missing, dirty, and sodden. The draft of portions of the Atlantic Ocean article, with its mixture of Swedish and English text, is entirely kept with Erdtman’s multi-lingual facility as discussed elsewhere (Edwards 2021). This did not stop him from seeking help from James Burchell where appropriate.

The photographs in the laboratory photo album of the Natural History Museum vividly bring Erdtman’s pre-Atlantic efforts to life. Importantly, the existence of the photographs has enabled us to pinpoint the precise location of his terrestrial experiments in Västerås. This is reinforced by the survival of his land based ‘aerosol collector’ which resides in the loft of the museum. The metal housing is a piece of scientific apparatus – part of the intellectual archaeology and heritage of the discipline of palynology and one that is tied intimately to a remarkable pioneer of pollen studies.

Acknowledgements

It is a pleasure to acknowledge the archival assistance provided by the Center for History of Science, The Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences and by Maria Asp in particular. The Center and the Palynologiska laboratoriet, Naturhistoriska riksmuseet are both thanked for permission to reproduce images used in this paper and in the associated online Supplementary files. The authors are grateful to Tony Hooley for his advice concerning the electrical components of the aerosol collector and Malin Eriksson of the Electrolux Company for historical guidance. The sharing of personal reminiscences of the Palynological Laboratory by Elisabeth Grafström is much appreciated.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

Notes

1. According to data extracted from Google Scholar (2022), the paper has received 89 citations, excluding self-citation, over a period of 85 years. Although its average of a single citation per annum may be thought unremarkable, it clearly has a longevity that is unusual in science. This may be shown for the period 1970–2018, where the average lifespan of a paper is greatest in its third year of life after which it decreases progressively, and few papers are cited beyond 20 years (based on 4.50 M citable documents, including 3.85 M articles, 8.51 M citations and 27 subjects; Mendoza 2021).

2. A letter from Erdtman to the British archaeologist James Burchell written in 1937 (see below) stated that the Swede was accompanied by his wife (Gunborg [‘Gunni’] Erdtman [nee Printz; 1904–1993]) who often accompanied him on visits abroad (Nilsson and Praglowski 2000; Edwards and Mao 2021).

3. Erdtman seemed to have passage in ‘Cabin Vestibul’ (Figure 12). The ship had berths for 280 cabin class, 300 second class and 700 third class passengers (New York Times 1920). The notorious rolling in heavy seas gave rise to the vessel’s nickname of ‘Rollingholm’ or ‘Rollinghome’ (Reimertz 2018).

4. AB is an abbreviation of Aktiebolaget (= limited company).

5. This was published in the same series (‘New Series of Plant Science Books’, edited by Dutch-American botanist Frans Verdoorn [1906–1984] as Erdtman (1943a). Indeed, Wodehouse provided the foreword to the Swede’s volume. Wodehouse had published one of the few books devoted to pollen grains prior to the appearance of Erdtman’s introduction (Wodehouse 1935).


7. The name is no longer accepted. The current name is Picea abies: https://www.itis.gov/servlet/SingleRpt/SingleRpt?search_topic=TSN&search_value=194775#null [accessed 7 February 2023].

8. It is difficult to reconcile fully the location for vacuum cleaner B with the published one of being fastened in the stern rigging (Erdtman 1937) or fore rigging or foremast according to Erdtman (1938a,b). Apparatus A clearly refers to the vacuum cleaner located on top of the bridge (Erdtman 1937).


10. Elisabeth Grafström, Erdtman’s former assistant, said that his papers had been collected, ordered and distributed to the KVA and the MNH by herself and the late Siwert Nilsson, a successor to Erdtman as Director of the Palynological Laboratory (personal communication, 1 May 2023).


13. James Percy Tufnell Burchell (1898–1979), then a tax assessor living in the Hyde Park area of London, England, who was involved with the investigation of many Palaeolithic sites in Eastern England and Ireland.
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